

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/732,772	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> William E. Dondero	<b>Art Unit</b> 3654	

**All Participants:**

(1) William E. Dondero.

(2) John M. Janeway.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 March 2006

**Time:** 8:15 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner's amendment as per attached Office Action. Applicant's attorney authorized amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Kathy Matecki*

**KATHY MATECKI**

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)